Testing the Othernet "Bullseye BE01" LNB

The Othernet Bullseye BE01 Ku-band Low-Noise Block-downconverter features a tunable 2 ppm TCXO, a 25 MHz reference output, and extended coverage for QO-100 reception. In this article I discuss its specifications, internal construction and performance.
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1. Introduction

Othernet [https://othernet.is] (formerly known as Outernet) broadcasts free digital content from the Web over Ku-band geostationary transponders. Their distinguishing feature is that signals can be received with a low-cost demodulator and a bare LNB pointed toward the general direction of the satellites. In February 2020 they announced the Bullseye BE01 [https://othernet.is/products/bullseye-10-khz-ultra-high-stability-universal-lnb], a custom LNB with improved frequency stability. It is unclear how this fits in their datacasting strategy. The LoRa modulation should already offer some immunity against frequency errors, but maybe a more accurate LNB will reduce acquisition time. Maybe the improved stability will allow higher data rates or more efficient modulations. Regardless, according to specifications, this LNB should be perfect for amateur radio on QO-100.

Othernet kindly sent me two units, presumably so that I could add the BE01 to my catalogue of satellite LNBs (see [LNBLINEUP]). Obviously the claimed performance and functionality deserve a more in-depth review, hence this article.

2. Specifications

According to the product webpage [https://othernet.is/products/bullseye-10-khz-ultra-high-stability-universal-lnb] and packaging, the specifications are as follows:

- **PLL with 2 ppm TCXO**. TCXO means Temperature-Compensated Crystal Oscillator. This is a major improvement over the plain crystal oscillator found in contemporary consumer-grade LNBs. All crystal oscillators are affected by temperature; a TCXO measures temperature in order to counteract its effect on the resonant frequency. 2 ppm should guarantees 20 kHz accuracy (or is that only short-term stability?) at 9750 MHz.

- **Calibrated to 1 kHz**. This means that the absolute frequency error is less than 1 kHz (100 ppb) when the device leaves the factory. But it may drift afterward (see below).

- **Proprietary frequency control system**. Maybe this explains how the device can claim better stability than a 2 ppm TCXO alone (10 kHz vs 20 kHz).

- **Digitally controlled carrier offset with optional programmer**. All crystal-based oscillators drift slowly with age, even TCXOs. The ability to recalibrate means that the LNB can hopefully be kept accurate to a few kHz for weeks at a time.

- **25 MHz output reference**. Officially this is intended for monitoring the TCXO, but the wording suggests that the LNB could serve as a frequency reference for other devices. For example, a transceiver with its own 2 ppm oscillator could be off by 1480 Hz at 740 MHz and 4800 Hz at 2400 MHz (typical values for QO-100 downlink IF and uplink, respectively). If the LNB can be calibrated to much better than 2 ppm, it makes sense to synchronize the transceiver to it.

- **Input frequency 10489 - 12750 MHz, LO frequency 9750 / 10600 MHz, output frequency 739 - 1950 MHz / 1100 - 2150 MHz**. This is compatible with European "Universal" LNBs. Note that frequency coverage is usually 10700 - 12750 MHz. The extension to 10489 MHz clearly indicates that the designers had QO-100 in mind.

- **Frequency stability ±10 kHz at 23°C, ±30 kHz over -20 - +60°C**. If I read this correctly, temperature fluctuations can shift the frequency by ±30 kHz and all other effects can add ±10 kHz (but over what time scale?), therefore worst-case is ±40 kHz (4 ppm). Unfortunately, it is hard to specify the performance of an oscillator succinctly. For example, according to datasheets, an expensive OCXO marketed as "10 ppb" can have an initial error as high as 500 ppb and a guaranteed lifetime accuracy no better than 4.6 ppm (this value comes from NTP stratum 3 requirements). Single-valued ratings usually refer either to drift over 24 h in a lab environment, or to temperature sensitivity over the rated range. Detailed specifications will itemize the effect of temperature, initial crystal aging, long-term aging, rapid temperature changes, supply voltage fluctuations, output load variations, static acceleration, vibration, shock, reflow cycles, etc.
• **Gain 50 - 66 dB**. This looks bad, but it is unclear whether this refers to uneven response over the whole frequency range or to variability between individual units. Note that LNB manufacturers usually specify gain flatness over 30 MHz channels; this is what matters for TV reception. Maybe the 16 dB spread reflects derating of components for operation at 10489 MHz. Anyway in most applications the receiver will have enough input dynamic range to compensate.

• **Return loss 8 dB at 739 - 1950 MHz, 10 dB 1100 - 2150 MHz**. I am not sure whether this refers to the impedance of the output driver or to the maximum VSWR that it can tolerate from a mismatched load. Anyway these values are on par with other LNBs.

• **Noise figure 0.5 dB**. Most LNB manufacturers claim 0.1 dB but this is commonly regarded as unrealistic. Reputable manufacturers claim 0.3 - 0.7 dB, therefore 0.5 dB sounds reasonable.

### 3. Construction

Externally the Bullseye BE01 looks like a plain compact PLL LNB. It has a plastic enclosure with a 60 mm horn cap and a 40 mm neck. There are two F-type coaxial connectors. The green connector receives DC power and outputs the IF signal. The red connector outputs the 25 MHz reference.

Inside, a cast-metal body defines the horn, the waveguide and a shielded cavity for the circuit board. Most LNBs are constructed that way. There is a small sealed hole near the cap which is probably involved in weatherproofing at manufacturing time.

**Figure 1. BE01 disassembled**

The PCB is only 24x38 mm. The microwave section is based on the Rafael Micro RT320M, also found in the well-known Octagon OTLSO (version 1609). There is no publicly-available datasheet for the RT320M, but Chinese patent CN206712931U reveals the pin configuration. The signal paths are easy to follow; only the biasing voltages for the transistors are routed on internal layers.
The design departs radically from the mainstream in all other aspects:

- The RT320M is powered by a 5.5 V switched-mode voltage regulator, whereas most LNBs use linear regulators. A SMPS produces less waste heat; maybe this helps keep temperature within reasonable limits. Power consumption is the same as a typical LNB, about 1 W, despite the additional functionality.

- DC power is tapped from the green coaxial connector via a real SMD inductor. Most LNBs get away with only a wiggly PCB trace; the BE01 has one under the large diode, but presumably this was not enough to protect the IF output from switching noise.

- Unlike a standard Twin LNB, the BE01 can only draw power from one connector. Actually it is probably a good idea to refrain from applying DC voltage to the red connector; there is a resistive divider, but it is unclear whether it could handle 18 V from a set-top-box.

- The oscillator section is powered by a dedicated 3.3 V linear regulator.

- There is a microcontroller which apparently controls the frequency of the oscillator via 15 kHz PWM on pin 1.

- Pin 4 of the microcontroller is DC-coupled to the red coaxial connector. Presumably this is how it communicates with the calibration device.

- The oscillator appears to be made by YOKETAN. It could be a SV3225A (VCXO) or a customized SO3225T (TCXO).

4. Testing

The accuracy and stability of the BE01 could be evaluated simply by probing its 25 MHz output with a frequency counter. An error of 1 Hz at 25 MHz translates to 390 Hz at 9750 MHz. For meaningful results, the accuracy of the frequency counter should be one order of magnitude better than the quantity being measured. Here, this means about 10 ppb. None of my lab instruments was suitable for this task, so instead I used the QO-100 beacons as a reference and I measured their apparent frequency after downconversion by the BE01.

The stability and exact frequency of QO-100 beacons was a hot topic in early 2019. See [ESTEVEZ20190323] for a discussion of NCO artefacts, Doppler shift in geostationary Earth orbit, and...
possible upconversion offsets in the transponder. In summary, the beacons should be accurate to about 150 Hz (15 ppb), which is good enough for this experiment.

The test setup is as follows:

- BE01 mounted on 120 cm dish, powered by bias tee
- IF output connected to a PlutoSDR via low-pass filter and attenuator
- PlutoSDR TCXO disabled by grounding pin 1
- 25 MHz output connected to 30 dB amplifier and injected into XTALN of AD9363 via 470 pF decoupling cap
- PlutoSDR firmware configured to expect a 25 MHz clock (see [MADEL20190105])
- PlutoSDR tuned to 739.675 MHz, sampling at 300 kHz
- CW beacon tracked by GNU Radio "PLL Freq Det" block
- BPSK beacon coarsely derotated by CW beacon tracker, then demodulated by GNU Radio "Clock Recovery MM" and "Costas Loop" blocks.

**Figure 3. PlutoSDR clocked by 25 MHz reference from LNB**

The purpose of the BPSK demodulator was only to confirm that the PLL block remains locked. In theory it could also be used to estimate short-term frequency fluctuations, phase noise, and fading.

Note that the on-board TCXO of the PlutoSDR is rated 25 ppm. Mine is usually off by no more than 4 ppm, i.e. 3 kHz at 740 MHz. This is good enough to enjoy the benefits of the TCXO LNB, but not sufficient to measure its performance. This is why I decided to synchronize the PlutoSDR with the 25 MHz reference. Alternatively, I could have upgraded the PlutoSDR with a better TCXO or an OCXO or a GPSDO.

Frequency offsets were logged for 24 hours in mild winter weather. An outdoor temperature sensor registered between 8°C and 16°C. Stability over that period was ±600 Hz (±60 ppb). Maximum error was 6.8 kHz (700 ppb).

The BE01 is supposed to be factory-calibrated to 1 kHz, so the 6.8 kHz offset was surprising, but still within the advertised 10 kHz. Maybe 700 ppb is normal aging for a brand new crystal. Even OCXO manufacturers typically don't specify aging rates for the first 30 days of operation. Presumably crystals take that long to stabilize after reflow soldering.
Simultaneously, I tested a mainstream PLL LNB in the same conditions, except that the attached PlutoSDR was clocked by its on-board TCXO. For reasons already mentioned, this is not optimal, but the error from this TCXO should be ±18.5 kHz worst-case and probably ±3 kHz in practice. The observed frequency errors are much larger than that, so they must come from the LNB.

As usual with PLL LNBs, I had to tune the SDR manually in order to bring the 250 kHz QO-100 band into the 300 kHz digital baseband. With the BE01, the absolute error was well within the ±25 kHz margin, so I did not have to worry about that.

Results suggest that the BE01 was about 30x more accurate and more stable than the PLL LNB during this experiment.

Interestingly, frequency offsets from both LNBs are correlated with ambient temperature, but they move in opposite directions. Maybe temperature affects them in different ways, or maybe the BE01 TCXO overcompensates a little.

The correlation also suggests that temperature is the primary cause of frequency fluctuations. The oscillators don’t just drift randomly when temperature is stable.
5. Conclusion and perspectives

As far as I know the BE01 is the first affordable mass-produced Ku-band TCXO LNB. Specifications are not entirely clear but these early tests suggest that it can be a game changer for amateur radio and other narrowband applications in the 10 GHz band. The stability and ability to recalibrate should allow even unsophisticated analog stations to tune to a 5 kHz channel and remain there for hours at a time. For SDR stations with beacon-based frequency correction, the absolute accuracy removes the need to oversample by several hundred kHz or to scan for the initial frequency offset.

What remains to be seen is:

- How the BE01 compares with TCXO and GPSDO mods already developed by the amateur community for low-cost LNBs; some are available commercially, but presumably only in small quantities due to the manual labor involved
- Whether noise from the SMPS affects RF performance in any way
- Whether there are trade-offs in using a tightly-controlled TCXO instead of a plain crystal oscillator, e.g. for phase noise
- Whether the tuning functionality is usable in practice, and how much crystal aging it can compensate
- Whether the unbuffered 25 MHz output can be used permanently, possibly over a long cable, without affecting RF performance
- Whether the additional complexity affects MTBF in all-weather conditions.

Incidentally, running these experiments helped me realize that the most cost-effective way to improve frequency stability is probably to shield LNBs from rain, wind, direct sunlight and cloudless night skies.
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